

**New Mexico - Chama**  
**FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands**

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_\_ Final Rating \_\_\_\_\_

**1. Plants - 60 Potential Points (25% Total)**

Pick one or use all three of the methods below	% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment	Potential Points	Bench mark Points	After Points
<b>Deferment Period</b>	>90% of Growing Season		20		
	50-90% of Growing Season		10		
	26-50% of Growing Season		5		
	0-25% of Growing Season		0		
<b>Grazing Plan</b>	Intensive Grazing		20		
	Seasonal Grazing		10		
	Continuous Grazing		0		
<b>Stocking Rate: Based on Loamy WP-2 Si 26-50</b>	> 11 Acres/ 1 AUM		20		
	9-11 Acres/ 1 AUM		10		
	6.5-8.9 Acres/ 1 AUM		5		
	<6.4 Acres/ 1 AUM		0		
<b>(Irr. Lands) Stocking Rate: Based Soils Data</b>	1 AUM/> 5.5 acres		20		
	1 AUM/ 4-5.5 acres		10		
	1 AUM/2.9-3.9 acres		5		
	1 AUM/< 2.9 acres		0		
		1.Plants	Total:		

**2. Other Considerations - 35 Potential Points (15% of Total)**

Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here.	Potential Points	Bench-mark	Points - After
A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species.	4	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	4	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	4	0	
D. This land is within a NMED designated Category I Watershed.	4	0	
E. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of Class A and/or Class B noxious weeds, as designated by NMDA.	15	0	
F. This land is involved with other conservation enhancement programs such as Partners for Wildlife, NMG&F, ect.	4	0	
<b>2. Other Considerations</b>	<b>Total:</b>	<b>0</b>	

**New Mexico - Chama**  
**FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands**

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_\_ Final Rating \_\_\_\_\_

**3. Conservation Practices Selection - 142 Potential Points (60% of Total)**

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Max. points per practice 1

	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	Points - After
<b>Soil Erosion ( Sheet &amp; Rill )</b>	<b>25</b>		
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548)	5		
Grade Stabilization Structure (410)	5		
Range Planting (550)	5		
Brush Management (314)	5		
Fencing (382)	5		
<b>Water Quantity ( Overland Flow )</b>	<b>25</b>		
Spring Development (574)	5		
Pond (378) Pond Sealing (521)	5		
Well (642)	5		
Pumping Plant (533)	5		
Livestock Pipeline (516) & Storage (614)	5		
<b>Water Quality ( Surface Water Contaminants )</b>	<b>25</b>		
Well (642)	5		
Pumping Plant (533)	5		
Livestock Pipeline (516) & Storage (614)	5		
Pond (378) Pond Sealing (521)	5		
Fencing (382)	5		
<b>Air</b>	<b>15</b>		
Range Planting (550)	5		
Tree & Shrub Establishment (612)	5		
Windbreaks (380)	5		
<b>Plants ( Suitability &amp; Condition )</b>	<b>20</b>		
Range Planting (550)	5		
Tree & Shrub Establishment (612)	5		
Fencing (382)	5		
Brush Management (314)	5		
<b>Animals ( Habitat )</b>	<b>36</b>		
Range Planting (550)	4		
Windbreaks (380)	4		
Stream Habitat Improvement (395)	4		
Pond (378) Pond Sealing (521)	4		
Well (642)	4		
Pumping Plant (533)	4		
Livestock Pipeline (516) & Storage (614)	4		
Wildlife Watering Facility (648)	4		
<b>Total:</b>			

Designated Conservationist \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_